REMARKS

This Response is submitted in reply to the Office Action dated November 12, 2004. Claims 1-2 are pending in the patent application. New claims 3-5 have been added to the application. No new matter have been added by the amendments made herein.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Applicants respectfully submit, at least for the reasons set forth below, that the rejections have been overcome or are improper. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request reconsideration of the patentability of Claims 1-2 and further submit that new claims 3-5 are patentable in view of the cited art.

Claims 1-2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,219,694 to Lizaridis ("*Lizaridis*"). Applicants respectfully disagree with and traverse this rejection because *Lizaridis* does not disclose all the elements of Claim 1-11.

Lizaridis is directed to a system and method for pushing or re-directing information from a host system to a mobile data communication device upon sensing a triggering event. Specifically, the host system includes a re-director program which continuously re-directs designated information or user selected data items from the host system to a user's mobile data communication device when one or more user-defined triggering events occurs. (See the Abstract). In one example, a user can select certain data items for redirection such as e-mail messages, calendar events, meeting notifications, address entries, journal entries, personal reminders, etc. (Col. 3, lines 9-35). The user then defines certain triggering events such as external triggering events (i.e., receiving a message from the user's mobile data communication device), internal triggering events (i.e., calendar alarm, screen saver activation, keyboard timeout, etc) or networked events, which are "user-defined messages that are transmitted to the host system from another computer coupled to a host system from another computer coupled to the host system via a network. . ." (Col. 3, lines 25-27). After a triggering event has occurred, the host system re-packages the re-directed user data items which is "transparent to the mobile data communication device, so that information on the mobile device appears similar to information on the user's host system." (Col. 3, line 66 to Col. 4, line 3).

Thus, the data redirection system described by *Lizaridis* re-directs information such as an email from a host system to a user's mobile device. *Lizaridis* does not disclose, teach or suggest that the host system or the mobile device designates "a non-skip area" that represents an important portion of the user data items. Therefore, *Lizaridis* does not disclose, teach or suggest the other elements of claim 1:

> transmitting information from the non-skip area from the server to the user terminal unit along with the content file;
>
> causing the user terminal unit to determine whether or not the non-skip area has been skipped and when the non-skip area has been skipped, transmitting information indicating that the non-skip area has been skipped to the server; and
>
> causing the server to transmit information corresponding to the non-skip area to the user by another transmitting means when the server receives the information indicating that the non-skip area has been skipped.

For at least these reasons, *Lizaridis* does not disclose, teach or suggest the elements of claim 1. Therefore, claim 1 and claim 2, which depends from claim 1, are each patentably distinguished from *Lizaridis* and are in condition for allowance.

New claim 3 includes certain similar elements to independent claim 1. Therefore, new claim 3 and claims 4 and 5 which depend from claim 3, are each patentably distinguished over the cited art and are in condition for allowance.

In light of above, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-2 and new claims 3-5 are patentable over the art of record because *Lizaridis* does disclose, teach or suggest all of the elements of these claims. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully request that Claims 1-5 be deemed allowable at this time and that a timely notice of allowance be issued in this case.

No fees are due in this case. If any other fees are due in connection with this application as a whole, the Patent Office is authorized to deduct the fees from Deposit Account No. 02-1818. If such a withdrawal is made, please indicate the attorney docket number (112857-236) on the account statement.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD LLOYD LLC

BY 

Christopher S. Hermanson
Reg. No. 48,244
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135
Phone: (312) 807-4225

Dated: January 18, 2005